## \*\*NO COPY OF THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY BE MADE PRIOR TO 10-6-2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

19-cr-142-01-LM June 11, 2021

V.

9:10 a.m.

NATHAN CRAIGUE

FURTHER REDACTED TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL DAY FIVE BEFORE THE HONORABLE LANDYA B. MCCAFFERTY

APPEARANCES:

<u>For the Government</u>: John S. Davis, AUSA

Aaron G. Gingrande, AUSA U.S. Attorney's Office

For the Defendant: Behzad Mirhashem, Esq.

Dorothy E. Graham, Esq. Federal Defenders Office

Court Reporter:

Susan M. Bateman, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

55 Pleasant Street Concord, NH 03301 (603) 225-1453

## 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (CHAMBERS CONFERENCE) THE COURT: All right. So I've just received 3 4 document 130, which is the government's assented to motion to 5 dismiss the case with prejudice, has the assent of defense, 6 and that motion is granted. 7 So now I'll just bring the jury in and thank them 8 for their service, say something nice. 9 Yes. MS. GRAHAM: Could I just add one thing for the 10 11 record, your Honor --12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MS. GRAHAM: -- that is not included in the motion. 14 Part of our agreement and consent to the dismissal 15 was the condition that no federal criminal charges arising 16 from the events in this case would be brought and that is our 17 understanding, and there is no objection to that as well. 18 MR. DAVIS: We agree. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. MIRHASHEM: And there's a second matter, your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: There's always another matter in this 23 case. 24 MR. MIRHASHEM: This is the last one. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MIRHASHEM: The second matter is that yesterday in open proceedings the Court conducted an inquiry from the government about the nature of the evidence.

We received a report about that matter today in discovery from the government, and we have two issues with that.

One, we believe that the Court should obtain a copy of that document whether or not it's under seal so that the Court can see the full representation as far as what this report says, who knew, who didn't know, what William Carroll's involvement was, what Federal Agent Sean Roberts's involvement may have been.

All of that I think is matters that given the representations yesterday the Court should be aware of and make its own judgment of the report.

The other matter is we assented to sealing the ...

We do not believe that this report should be subject to that order. If the government wants to redact certain information, you know, we can discuss redaction, but the fact that our client who for two years has been prosecuted in this case, the government did not reveal that there was a -- this report says there was \$80 paid to this person who testified in grand jury against our client.

There is no -- this was discussed in open court yesterday. The Concord PD knew about it. The Concord police

officer says he would not have engaged -- I mean, the wording, 1 2 I may be off, but he basically said he would have kept Agent 3 Roberts posted about this. 4 This is discovery that was provided to us. It's 5 nothing but has -- you know, every time one of our clients gets convicted 6 7 the next day there's a press release on their website. I had a client who just lost a job two days ago 8 based on their press releases. Why should there be any 9 10 limitation on our client's ability to tell the world about 11 what happened to him? 12 MR. DAVIS: Judge, we ask that the report -- we 13 have no objection to the Court seeing it. 14 The report documents our phone call to Mr. Carroll 15 late yesterday after court. The Department of Labor agent 16 worked assiduously overnight to get it done, and we sent it 17 within ten minutes to the defense. We have no objection to 18 the Court seeing it of course. 19 We do ask that it be subject to the same protective 20 order because it clearly reveals that 21 , and being , and discusses how that 22 came about in May of 2019, and so in the same spirit that the 23 was sealed, we would ask that this be sealed. 24

Now, if there are -- so we -- you can do a press release, whatever you want, about the case being dismissed,

25

1 but --MR. MIRHASHEM: We don't do press releases. 2 3 client -- my suggestion -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to 4 interrupt. 5 MR. DAVIS: Anyway, I mean, part of the point of this is to protect what's left of the 6 7 He didn't do anything wrong. 8 There's nothing derogatory in their about him. 9 has been kept secret, and we have investigated --10 11 at your request we've disclosed -- you have everything that we 12 have about it. All we ask is don't put in the press that 13 14 MR. MIRHASHEM: I'm certainly not going to put 15 anything in the press. My concern is when our clients under a 16 threat of prolonged imprisonment testify pursuant to a 5K 17 agreement, the whole world learns at trial of their 18 cooperation. 19 This person got our 20 We don't have any interest in harming him. But when 21 somebody and we have his 22 , then I guess in litigation we can see what he understood or didn't understand. 23 24 I talk to clients and say you can cut five years 25 off your sentence, but you've got to know that then you're

going to go do the rest of the five years and everybody at the 1 2 prison is going to know that you 3 When people get they are 4 told we may need to disclose you to the defense. And 5 here there was a -- you know, when the court's local rules on Giglio disclosure with respect to \_\_\_\_\_\_ is actually 6 7 followed, the defense gets that information to use at trial. In this case the government has taken every 8 possible step to -- you know, you reindicted two days before, 9 10 like, a trial. Now you want to -- the government wants to 11 terminate this and put a lid on it. 12 My job as my client's lawyer is I have his 13 interests, but he has a right to get the discovery in this 14 case and do with it as he sees fit unless the Court determines 15 that it's subject to a protective order. 16 So my proposal as to that would be -- I'm obviously 17 not going to, like, disclose anything and instruct our client 18 not to disclose anything. 19 We can file motions as to whether or not this 20 particular report should be completely sealed, completely 21 unsealed, redacted, but if this had been disclosed to us as 22 part of proper Giglio procedures, would have 23 testified. We would have cross-examined with this stuff. 24 We had a Concord Monitor reporter subpoenaed 25 because had given a statement to him that he was a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

subcontractor. The lawyer for the Concord Monitor is sitting The Monitor would have learned about it. It would have been all over the news. The Concord Monitor reporter was going to impeach this witness, and so that's the risk you take when you MR. GINGRANDE: I would like -- could I respond, your Honor? The circumstances of this situation are extremely unique in that the government did not learn about the fact that he was a until this trial had already begun and we were in the middle of him testifying. THE COURT: Can I just stop you though? That's exactly the problem. MR. GINGRANDE: Oh, no. I understand, your Honor. THE COURT: Ultimately, there was a signal in the that should have alerted the prosecution here there may be some exculpatory evidence or something strange about this, I'm going to look into this, and ultimately, may not have ever testified and would not be in any danger at all if you had followed your obligations and you had pursued this with due diligence, even minimal diligence. It took one phone call, one phone call, and here we are, and danger because of that fact, frankly. So, ultimately, I will hear briefing on this. think you could redact information to protect

```
1
    That's my thinking.
 2
               The issue is that he was being paid money for his
    testimony. Why does the world need to know he
 3
 4
               Ultimately, I think that is something that is
 5
    something the public from Mr. Craigue's perspective can know
    and I don't think that is going to harm _____, but the fact
 6
 7
    that he was
                                         I would be
    inclined to keep that from the public and protect him as much
 8
 9
    as we can.
10
               MR. MIRHASHEM: I agree that -- we would not object
11
                              being redacted as long as
12
    it is in the public record that this man was put on trial
13
    after a grand jury witness was paid to testify against him by
14
    a Concord police officer whose name should be public, there's
15
    no reason to seal his name, and that Agent Sean Roberts of the
16
    Department of Labor was, as described in the report, in some
17
    way involved. There's no reason to seal his name.
18
               THE COURT: And I'm not saying that. I think you
19
    seal the
                   to protect
20
               MR. MIRHASHEM: We don't have an objection to that.
21
               THE COURT: Okay.
22
               MR. GINGRANDE: Your Honor, would you mind if I
23
    just --
24
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. I did interrupt you. You
25
    go ahead.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GINGRANDE: Yeah, just to finish the sentence. It was a unique situation in that at the time that the government learned he was already on the stand. And ordinarily before we would put any witness on the stand, you know, and you're right, we would ordinarily have -- you know, had we had -- had we known and disclosed it, we would have had an opportunity to discuss with the consequences of his testifying. The fact that it would become known that , et cetera. When we learned this, he was in the middle of his testimony. The government couldn't speak to him at all and couldn't even inform him about what might come out. The fact that he would be that fact might come out. was put in a position where he would not know that this information, any of the information, the fact that, you know, apparently that he was even paid for that grand jury testimony, the fact that he was , that any of that would come out, and we certainly couldn't tell him because of the stance of this case. So our position is feel free to blame the government, but don't put in the position where all of the sudden he ends up -- you know, we had called him to testify and he didn't know what the implications of that would be because we didn't have the information to talk to him about

```
1
    and get his informed consent, in other words, to be a witness.
 2
               So we don't believe that, you know, his -- he
    should be
 3
                      in that way.
 4
               THE COURT: And I think everybody agrees with that.
 5
               MR. GINGRANDE: Okay. All right.
               THE COURT: All right. So we'll go out, we'll say
 6
 7
    hello and good-bye to the jury, I'll try to say something nice
    to them, and then we'll go home for the weekend.
 8
 9
               All right. Thank you.
                (CONCLUSION OF CHAMBERS CONFERENCE)
10
11
                (IN COURT- JURY PRESENT)
12
               THE CLERK: This is the United States versus Nathan
13
    Craique.
              It is 19-cr-142-01-LM. Jury trial day five.
14
               THE COURT: Good morning members of the jury.
15
               This is I think our fifth day of this jury trial,
16
    and you have been so attentive and patient throughout. I
17
    thank you for that; as do the parties and counsel.
18
               Something has come up and the trial is over. Your
    service is complete.
19
20
               In short, the government discovered something that
21
    led them to dismiss the case against Mr. Craique. So I am
22
    going to dismiss you this morning.
23
               Understand, however, that I am grateful to each of
    you for your service. You served your country for the last
24
25
    five days. You served as officers of the court.
```

```
1
                You must have noticed that every time you entered
2
     the courtroom or exited the courtroom everyone in the
 3
    courtroom stood to honor you, to honor you for your role in
 4
    this trial.
 5
                As you leave the courtroom today, we will all rise
 6
    one final time. You deserve that honor.
7
                Thank you for your service.
                The jury is dismissed.
8
 9
                All rise for the jury.
10
                THE COURT: Court is adjourned.
11
                (Conclusion of trial 9:30 a.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 4 I, Susan M. Bateman, do hereby certify that the 5 foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcription of 6 the within proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill, 7 ability and belief. 8 9 10 Submitted: 7-8-21 /s/ Susan M. Bateman SUSAN M. BATEMAN, RPR, CRR 11 12 I, Susan M. Bateman, certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the transcript originally filed with the 13 clerk of court on 7-8-2021 and incorporating redactions of personal identifiers requested by the Court, in accordance with 14 Judicial Conference policy. Redacted characters appear as a a black box on the transcript. 15 16 17 /s/ Susan M. Bateman SUSAN M. BATEMAN, RPR, CRR 18 19 20 I, Susan M. Bateman, certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the transcript originally filed with the 21 clerk of court on 7-8-2021 and incorporating further redactions of personal identifiers requested by the Court, in accordance 22 with Judicial Conference policy. Redacted characters appear as a black box on the electronic transcript 23 24 DATED: 9-17-21 /s/ Susan M. Bateman SUSAN M. BATEMAN, RPR, CRR 25